

From: [Wiechman, Lief](#)
To: [Berglund, Jeff](#)
Subject: Re: CED entry 500 (energy dev, MT, MZ 1)
Date: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 3:44:43 PM

I plan on hollering Catherine's way this week, too. But I'll try to circle back with you first.

LW

Lief Wiechman

Sage-grouse Ecologist
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Mountain-Prairie Region
Cell: 307.214.8426
Office: 307.772.2374 x236
lief_wiechman@fws.gov

On Tue, Feb 24, 2015 at 3:42 PM, Berglund, Jeff <jeff_berglund@fws.gov> wrote:
Good question - I just posed to FWP...

On Tue, Feb 24, 2015 at 3:31 PM, Wiechman, Lief <lief_wiechman@fws.gov> wrote:
Theoretically, it/they should... They should have entered the actual metric. We'll first clip all action to the occupied range layer. The CED should prevent them from adding any spatial data that falls outside the range. Then, we calculate the GIS acreage for all polygons and check for discrepancies in the metric they gave us as.

However, those are some of the things I'd like to chat with you about. That record in particular is the 'big fish' for ag conversion. They also listed it for energy development, but (dumb question), will it actually protect if they don't have subsurface mineral rights?

LW

Lief Wiechman

Sage-grouse Ecologist
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Mountain-Prairie Region
Cell: 307.214.8426
Office: 307.772.2374 x236
lief_wiechman@fws.gov

On Tue, Feb 24, 2015 at 3:26 PM, Berglund, Jeff <jeff_berglund@fws.gov> wrote:
Sounds good. Another question - so MFWP submitted all of their state parks and wildlife management areas (280 K acres). This all looks good to me, except some of the polygons are not within or adjacent to SG habitat. My question is this - if I verify this at the 280K-acre level, will the "true" total (e.g., the actual acreage total of those lands within/adjacent to SG habitat) be reflected in the modeling? Or is the acreage

total something we need to ask MFWP to modify before we verify? I'm hoping that the modeling will filter out those types of discrepancies.

On Tue, Feb 24, 2015 at 3:07 PM, Wiechman, Lief <lief_wiechman@fws.gov> wrote:
Haha...

Sometimes the digitizing or the shapefile grabs just 1 pixel and then the CED populates the whole state.

I'll give you a call, I'll take care of the agriculture conversion in MZ 1. There's only 7 projects, and I've gotta roll up all the NRCS data anyways, so it'll be quick for me.

LW

Lief Wiechman

Sage-grouse Ecologist
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Mountain-Prairie Region
Cell: 307.214.8426
Office: 307.772.2374 x236
lief_wiechman@fws.gov

On Tue, Feb 24, 2015 at 3:05 PM, Berglund, Jeff <jeff_berglund@fws.gov> wrote:

Hey Lief - FYI that entry that Mark S was asking about on the phone shows up in my MT queries, but doesn't actually appear to occur in MT. If you pull up the location, it looks like they keyed in MT as a state, but there are no MT counties listed (also doesn't include MT as one of the states in their spreadsheet narrative - but does include UT in that). I'll note it on the spreadsheet. Yay. More like that one please...

J

--

Jeff Berglund
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Montana Field Office
585 Shepard Way, Suite 1
Helena, MT 59601
(406) 449-5225, ext. 206

--

Jeff Berglund
Fish and Wildlife Biologist

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Montana Field Office
585 Shepard Way, Suite 1
Helena, MT 59601
(406) 449-5225, ext. 206

--

Jeff Berglund
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Montana Field Office
585 Shepard Way, Suite 1
Helena, MT 59601
(406) 449-5225, ext. 206